

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/603,905	KEDEM, RAFAEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nghia M. Doan	2825	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) Nghia M. Doan.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. David S. Atkinson (Reg. 56,655).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 June 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

claims 1,6,11, and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated a telephone interviews with Applicant representative to clarify the phrase of "pre-diffused IP blocks" and other phrases as well as "selected interface pin", which recited in claims 1, 6, and/or 11. Furthermore, Examiner also suggests the limitation of claim 15 is incorporated into the claim 11 that provides a complete of hardware emulation architecture and the Applicant representative agreed with Examiner suggestion.

Nghia Doan
Patent Examiner
AU 2825
(571) 272-5973.